

Possible discussion questions for dilemma #2:

A. What:

1. Based on what the officers in this narrative are said to have seen and heard, what should now give them pause? What are primary areas of concern on which they should focus?
2. Given multiple reasons for concern, how would you prioritize the officers' responsibilities? (Consider actually compiling a list, or set of lists, for comparison and analysis in light of the "why" and "exploratory" questions)

B. Why:

1. Why did you prioritize the officers' responsibilities in this order?
 - a. Was there a standard or principle that guided how you prioritized these responsibilities?
 - b. If so, why this specific principle/standard in this situation?
 - c. If you employed more than one such guiding principle, how do you understand the relationship between these principles in this situation?

C. Exploratory steps to test responses to "what" and "why" responses:

1. Offer "What if?" variations of the narrative, e.g.: What if one of the officers saw a "X" in the car? What if one of the officers heard person X say _____?)
2. Would you include any responsibilities the officers owe each other in your list?
3. Commissioner Harrington heads the Minnesota Department of *Public Safety*. What might the name of this department suggest about police officers' responsibilities?
4. Do you see any salient differences between this dilemma and the first one?
5. Is there anything about how you've ordered and weighed the officers' responsibilities that leaves you uncomfortable? Anything that seems incomplete?